
Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom T:+44 (0 1925 655116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com



# BS 476: Part 7: 1997



# Method For Classification Of The Surface Spread Of Flame Of Products

A Report To: Mapei UK Ltd

Document Reference: 403270

Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018

Issue No.: 1

Page 1







### **Executive Summary**

**Objective** 

To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic Description	Product reference	Thickness or	Weight per unit	
		application rate	area or density	
Coating system applied to	None assigned	14.98mm*	14.97kg/m <sup>2*</sup>	
calcium silicate based board	-			
Individual components used	d to manufacture composite:			
Top coating	"Mapecoat ACT 196"	2 x 0.15kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.2g/cm <sup>2</sup>	
Mesh	"Mapetherm Net"	1mm	160g/m²	
Coating	"Planitop 210"	3mm	1310kg/m³	
Primer	"Malech"	0.1kg/m <sup>2</sup>	1.01g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
Substrate	"Promat - Brandschultzbauplatten;	12mm	870kg/m <sup>3</sup>	
	Promatect-H"			
Please see pages 5 & 6 of this test report for the full description of the product tested				

Test Sponsor Mapei UK Ltd, Mapei House, Steel Park Road, Halesowen, West Midlands, B62

8HD

Test Results: Class 1

An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2.

Date of Test 21st August 2018

### **Signatories**

Responsible Officer

T. Mort \*

Senior Technical Officer

Authorised S. Deeming \*

Business Unit Head

\* For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.

Report Issued: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018

This version of the report has been produced from a pdf format electronic file that has been provided by **Exova Warringtonfire** to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 2 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES	2
TEST DETAILS	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	5
TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS	8
APPENDIX 2 – UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT	9
APPENDIX 3 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	10
REVISION HISTORY	11

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 3 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





### **Test Details**

#### **Purpose of test**

To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997 and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.

#### Scope of test

BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.

## Fire test study group/EGOLF

Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.

#### Instruction to test

The test was conducted on the 21<sup>st</sup> August 2018 at the request of Mapei UK Ltd., the sponsor of the test.

### Provision of test specimens

The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. **Exova Warringtonfire** was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

#### Conditioning of specimens

The specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 6: 1989+A1: 2009 together with the specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 7: 1997 were received on the 7<sup>th</sup> August 2018.

Prior to the tests, all of the specimens were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of 23  $\pm$  2°C and a relative humidity of 50  $\pm$  5%. One specimen from the total sample submitted for test was selected for constant mass verification.

# Form in which the specimens were tested

Composite - Combination of materials which are generally recognised in building constructions as discrete entities e.g. coated or laminated materials. Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick noncombustible backing board.

#### **Exposed face**

The coated face of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 4 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





### **Description of Test Specimens**

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. This information has not been independently verified by **Exova Warringtonfire**. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

General description		Coating system applied to calcium silicate based board			
Name of manufacturer		Mapei			
Overall thickness		14.98mm (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)			
Overall weight per unit area		14.97kg/m² (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)			
	Generic type	Acrylic based paint			
	Product reference	"Mapecoat ACT 196"			
	Name of manufacturer	Mapei			
	Colour reference	"White"			
Top coating	Number of coats	Two			
Top coating	Application rate per coat	0.15kg/m <sup>2</sup>			
	Weight per unit area	1.2g/cm <sup>2</sup>			
	Application method	Roller or brush			
	Curing process per coat	24 hours touch dry			
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below			
	Generic type	Reinforcing mesh			
	Product reference	"Mapetherm Net"			
	Name of manufacturer	Mapei			
	Colour reference	"White"			
Mesh	Number of layers	1			
	Thickness	1mm			
	Cell dimensions	4mm x 4mm			
	Weight per unit area	160g/m²			
Flame retardant details		The component is inherently flame retardant			
	Generic type	Cement render			
	Product reference	"Planitop 210"			
	Name of manufacturer	Mapei			
	Colour reference	"White"			
Continu	Number of coats	One			
Coating	Application thickness	3mm			
	Density	1310kg/m³			
	Application method	Trowel			
	Curing process per coat	24 hours touch dry			
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below			
	Generic type	Acrylic primer			
	Product reference	"Malech"			
	Name of manufacturer	Mapei			
	Colour reference	"Clear"			
Dulas s :	Number of coats	One			
Primer	Application rate	0.1kg/m²			
	Density	1.01g/cm <sup>3</sup>			
	Application method	Trowel			
	Curing process per coat	24hrs touch dry			
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below			

Continued on next page

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 5 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





	Product reference	"Promat – Brandschultzbauplatten; Promatect-H"
	Generic type	Calcium Silicate based board
Substrate	Name of manufacturer	Promat
Substrate	Thickness	12mm
	Density	870kg/m³
	Flame retardant details	The substrate is inherently flame retardant
Brief description of manufacturing process		Net is applied into wet basecoat material.

Note 1. The sponsor of the test has confirmed that no flame retardant additives were utilised in the production of the component.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 6 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018

Client: Mapei UK Ltd. Issue No.: 1



0249



#### **Test Results**

#### Results and observations

The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.

#### Classification

In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997; the specimens tested are classified as Class 1.

An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2

### Criteria for classification

If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 3, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.

### Applicability of test result

The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

#### Validity

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 7 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





### **Appendix 1 – Test Results**

SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	50	50	50	50	50	50
Distance (mm)		Time		ndicated dis seconds)	tance	
75 165 190 215 240 265 290 375 455 500 525 600 675 710 750 785 825						
Time to reach maximum distance travelled	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	50	50	50	50	50	50

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

#### Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

In the case of each specimen tested, the facing began to break away from the test position, 10mm above the reference line, from the second minute of the test, extending up to a maximum distance of 50mm.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 8 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018





### **Appendix 2 – Uncertainty of measurement**

Specimen No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 9 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018

Client: Mapei UK Ltd. Issue No.: 1



0249



### **Appendix 3 – Classification criteria**

Classification of spread of flame		Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
	Classification	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
	Class 1 Class 2 Class 3	165 215 265	165 + 25 215 + 25 265 + 25	165 455 710	165 + 25 455 + 45 710 + 75
	Class 4	Exceeding the li	imits for class 3		

**Explanation of prefix** and suffixes which may be added to the classification

- 1. A suffix R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are required in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).
- 2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).
- 3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

403270 Document No.: Page No.: 10 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018



BS 476: Part 7: 1997



### **Revision History**

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Document No.: 403270 Page No.: 11 of 11

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 4<sup>th</sup> October 2018

Client: Mapei UK Ltd. Issue No.: 1



0249